IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:22-CV-00816-DCN

John Doe 197,))
Plaintiff,))
v. The Bishop of Charleston, a Corporation Sole, The Bishop of the Diocese of Charleston, in his official capacity, and Rev. Fr. Bryan Babick,	DEFENDANTS' LOCAL RULE 26.01 INITIAL DISCLOSURES)
Defendants.)))

Defendants Bishop of Charleston, a Corporation Sole (subject to, and without waiving the objection to naming The Bishop of Charleston, in his official capacity, as a party (hereinafter "Diocese") submit the following Disclosures pursuant to Local Rule 26.01:

(A) State the full name, address and telephone number of all persons or legal entities who may have a subrogation interest in each claim and state the basis and extent of said interest.

ANSWER: None.

(B) As to each claim, state whether it should be tried jury or nonjury and why.

ANSWER: Plaintiff has requested a trial before a jury.

(C) State whether the party submitting these responses is a publicly owned company and separately identify: (1) each publicly owned company of which it is a parent, subsidiary, partier, or affiliate; (2) each publicly owned company which owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares or other indicia of ownership of the party; and (3) each publicly owned company in which the party owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares.

ANSWER: Bishop of Charleston, a Corporation Sole, is not publicly owned.

(D) State the basis for asserting the claim in the division in which it was filed (or the basis of any challenge to the appropriateness of the division).

ANSWER: Not applicable.

(E) Is this action related in whole or in part to any other matter filed in this District, whether civil or criminal? If so, provide: (1) a short caption and the full case number of the related action; (2) an explanation of how the matters are related; and (3) a statement of the status of the related action. Counsel should disclose any cases which may be related such that they should be assigned to a single judge will be determined by the Clerk of Court based on determination of whether the cases; arise from the same or identical transaction, happenings or events; involve the identical parties or property; or for any other reason would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

ANSWER: No.

(F) If the Defendant is improperly identified, give the proper identification and state whether counsel will accept service of an amended summons and pleading reflecting the correct identification.

ANSWER: Bishop of Charleston, a Corporation Sole is properly identified. The ecclesiastical office "the Bishop of the Diocese of Charleston, in his official capacity" is not properly named as a party defendant.

(G) If you contend that some other person or legal entity is, in whole or in part, liable to you or the party asserting a claim against you in this matter, identify such person or entity and describe the basis of said liability.

ANSWER: Defendants are not aware of any other person or legal entity that may be liable in whole or in part at this time.

TURNER, PADGET, GRAHAM & LANEY, P.A.

s/Richard S. Dukes, Jr.

Richard S. Dukes, Jr., Federal ID No.:7340 40 Calhoun Street, Suite 200 (29401) Post Office Box 22129

Charleston, South Carolina 29413-2129

Telephone: 843-576-2810 Facsimile: 843-577-1646

Email: rdukes@turnerpadget.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS

April 1, 2022 Charleston, South Carolina